Page 9 of 11 pages

REMARKS

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's thorough consideration provided the present

application. Claims 1, 3-11, 13 and 15-20 are now present in the application. Claims 1, 3, 6, 7,

11, 13, 15, 18 and 19 have been amended, and claims 2, 12 and 14 have been cancelled.

Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-4, 6-16 and 18-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by

Hickman. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Independent claim 1 has been amended to include the subject matter recited in dependent

claim 2 and to clarify that the personal information datum includes at least a health condition of

the user prior to using the physical training machine, and the server limits an upper-limit load of

the physical training machine based on the personal information datum registered by the

registration device such that the user can safely use the physical training machine. Independent

claims 11 and 13 include similar features in a varying scope. These features are supported by

page 8, line 37 and page 13, lines 12-17.

Regarding the subject matter recited in dependent claim 2, the Office Action indicates

Hickman discloses registering personal information data of user and cites column 7, lines 21-37.

However, it is respectfully noted this section merely indicates that the user is able to create a user

script of what type of exercise program he would like to perform that day. The personal

information does not include at least a health of condition of the user. Further, Hickman

discloses skipping a step of a training program if the user is determined to be tiring by the local

PCL/DAB/bsh

Page 10 of 11 pages

Appl. No. 10/092,559 Amendment dated December 19, 2005 Peoply to August 26, 2005 Office Action

Reply to August 26, 2005 Office Action

system 12 (see column 8, lines 2-5). However, the health condition is not prior to using the

physical training machine. Thus, if a person had a weak heart, Hickman would not appropriately

limit the use or load of the physical training apparatus based on this previously acknowledged

health condition. Rather, Hickman merely detects that the user is getting tired because he or she

is slowing the exercising repetition rate (see column 7, lines 65-67). Thus, the advantages of the

present invention are not achieved in Hickman.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted independent claims 1, 11 and 13 and the claims

depending therefrom are allowable.

Further, it is respectfully submitted the rejection of claims 5 and 17 under 35 U.S.C. §

103(a) as unpatentable over Hickman in view of Watterson et al. has also been overcome as

Watterson et al. also does not teach or suggest the features included in the amended independent

claims.

CONCLUSION

All the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed and/or rendered moot.

Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider all presently pending

rejections and that they be withdrawn.

It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the Office Action, and

that as such, the Examiner is respectfully requested to send the application to Issue.

In the event there are any matters remaining in this application, the Examiner is invited to

contact David A. Bilodeau, Reg. No. 42,325 at (703) 205-8072.

PCL/DAB/bsh

Appl. No. 10/092,559 Amendment dated December 19, 2005 Reply to August 26, 2005 Office Action Attorney Docket No. 0051-0176P Art Unit 3764 Page 11 of 11 pages

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

Paul C Lewis

Reg. No. 43,368

PCL/DAB/bsh/adt

P. O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000